

The Finite Satisfiability Problem for PCTL is Undecidable

Antonín Kučera

Baltic DB&IS 2026, Tartu, Estonia

The Satisfiability Problem

- Given a formula φ of some logic $\mathcal{L}$, does φ have a model?
- Closely related to the validity problem: φ is **not** satisfiable iff $\neg\varphi$ is a tautology.

Satisfiability and Validity for First-Order Logic

- The decidability of validity (Entscheidungsproblem) was questioned by Hilbert and Ackermann in 1928.
- By Gödel's completeness theorem, validity is equivalent to provability, and hence semi-decidable.
- Validity is not decidable (Turing, 1936). Hence, satisfiability is not even semi-decidable.
- **Finite** satisfiability is undecidable (Trakhtenbrot, 1950).
- Consequently, **finite** validity is not semi-decidable, and hence there is no deductive system satisfying $\models_f \varphi$ iff $\vdash \varphi$.

Satisfiability for Probabilistic Temporal Logics (1)

- Probabilistic CTL (Hansson & Jonsson, 1994):

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi &::= a \mid \neg\varphi \mid \varphi_1 \wedge \varphi_2 \mid P(\Phi) \bowtie r \\ \Phi &::= \mathbf{X}\varphi \mid \varphi_1 \mathbf{U} \varphi_2 \mid \varphi_1 \mathbf{U}^k \varphi_2\end{aligned}$$

Here, $a \in AP$, $\bowtie \in \{\geq, >, \leq, <, =\}$, $r \in [0, 1]$ is a rational constant, and $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

- $\mathbf{F}\varphi$ and $\mathbf{F}^k\varphi$ abbreviate $\text{true}\mathbf{U}\varphi$ and $\text{true}\mathbf{U}^k\varphi$.
- We write $\mathbf{X}_{=1}\varphi$ instead of $P(\mathbf{X}\varphi) = 1$, $\mathbf{G}_{=1}\varphi$ instead of $\mathbf{F}_{=0}\neg\varphi$, etc.
- The **qualitative** fragment of PCTL is obtained by restricting r to 0 and 1.
 - $\mathbf{G}_{=1}(\text{request} \Rightarrow \mathbf{F}_{=1} \text{granted})$
- PCTL formulae are interpreted over Markov chains.

Satisfiability for Probabilistic Temporal Logics (2)

PCTL does **not** have the small model property. There are (even qualitative) satisfiable PCTL formulae with only **infinite-state** models. Therefore, the PCTL satisfiability problem has been studied in two basic variants:

- **general satisfiability**, i.e., the existence of an unrestricted model;
- **finite satisfiability**, i.e., the existence of a finite-state model.

Positive decidability results exist for various PCTL fragments (in particular, for qualitative PCTL). The unrestricted PCTL, the general/finite satisfiability remained open for more 30 years.

Observation 1

For a given PCTL formula φ and a given $n \geq 1$, the existence of model for φ with at most n states is decidable by encoding the question in first-order arithmetic of the reals.

Consequently,

- the finite PCTL satisfiability is **semidecidable**;
- if there is a **computable** function $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ such that **every** finite satisfiable PCTL formula φ has a model with at most $f(|\varphi|)$ states, then the finite PCTL satisfiability is **decidable**;
- the existence of f is plausible (how about the Ackermann function. . . ?)

Finite Satisfiability for Unrestricted PCTL (2)

Theorem 2 (Chodil, K., LICS 2024; JACM 2026)

The finite PCTL satisfiability is *undecidable*. Consequently, there is *no complete deductive system* proving all finitely valid PCTL formulae.

- The undecidability result holds even for PCTL formulae of the form

$$\varphi \wedge \mathbf{G}_{=1} \psi$$

where φ, ψ use only the temporal connectives $\mathbf{X}$ and $\mathbf{F}^2$.

- There is *no* computable upper bound on the size of a model for a given finite satisfiable PCTL formula.
- The proof requires novel&tricky techniques.

Theorem 3 (Chodil, K., 2025)

*The general PCTL satisfiability is **highly undecidable**, i.e., beyond the arithmetical hierarchy. The same holds for the PCTL validity problem.*